EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Docket 7ik Pur ZZZ RECEIVED

MAR - 9 1993

.....

REPLY ROFFICE OF JHE SECRETARY

7330-7/1700A3

2 5 FEB 1993

Honorable Jolene Unsoeld U.S. House of Representatives 1508 Longworth Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Unsoeld:

This is in reply to your letter of February 8, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of your constituents, Mr. & Mrs. William Hughes, regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Those rules have been in place for over 20 years. While they have been amended on numerous occasions since that time, they nonetheless embody regulatory concepts based on yesteryear's technology and, unless changed, will stifle the growth and development of private land mobile radio technology and services, which are used primarily by local governments, public safety entities, and businesses to enhance their productivity. The Commission issued the Notice, therefore, to solicit comment from all interested persons on a wide variety of proposals designed to increase channel capacity, to promote more efficient use of these channels, and to simplify the rules governing use of these channels.

The proposals in the <u>Notice</u> reflect to a large extent concepts and proposals submitted in the initial inquiry stages of this proceeding. None of the proposals set forth in the <u>Notice</u>, however, are engraved in stone. Indeed, the proposals represent our best judgment at this stage of the proceeding on steps that must be taken to improve the regulatory climate for users of the private land mobile radio spectrum below 512 MHz. To this end, some of the critical issues that must be resolved relate to channel spacing, the amount of time provided to users to convert to new technical standards, how the 300 to 500 percent increase in channel capacity should be licensed, how the rules should be written to provide users technical flexibility, and whether the current nineteen radio services should be consolidated and, if so, how. I have enclosed for your information a copy of that part of the <u>Notice</u> that describes the numerous proposals.

Mr. and Mrs. Hughes are specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the $\underline{\text{Notice}}$.

No. of Copies rec'd 2 sopies

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take into careful consideration all their comments. Your constituents' concerns will be fully evaluated when we develop final rules in this proceeding. As indicated in the Notice, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Comments on the proposals set forth in the Notice are due May 28, 1993, and Reply Comments are due July 14, 1993. We expect final rules to be issued in 1994. We urge your constituents to file formal comments on all aspects of the proposals.

Ralph A. Haller

Chief, Private Radio Bureau

Enclosures

cc:

Chief, PRBureau Chief, LM&MDivison Docket Files, Room 222 P&P Branch File (Pink)

DFertig/RShiben: /pb/lm:PR

CNTL NO - 9300613/

Congressional

DUE OBC: 2-24-93

PLEASE MAKE 2 EXTRA COPIES OF INCOMING, ATTACHMENTS, AND REPLY FOR DOCKET FILE, ROOM 222.

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 02/16/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE DATE DU	E OLA(857)
9300613	02/16/93	02/08/93	03/01/93	
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME	REPLY FOR SIG OF	
Congresswom	nan Jole	ene Unsoeld	ВС	
	ENT'S NAME	S comments on PR	UBJECT	FEB 17
MI & MIS WI	TITAM Hughesing	. Comments on FR	Docket 92-255	REC 17 VATE
REF TO PRB/LAM 2-17-93	REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	RECEIVED
DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE	
02/16/93	·			- -

REMARKS:

JOENE UNSOELD

3RD DISTRICT, WASHINGTON

1508 LONGWORTH BUILDING (202) 225-3536

1110 CAPITOL WAY SOUTH SUITE 404 OLYMPIA, WA 98501 (206) 753-9528

601 Main Street, Suite 505 VANCOUVER, WA 98660 (206) 696-7942

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

tes ph 235 s

FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE
CONSERVATION AND THE ENVIRONMENT
MERCHANT MARINE
EDUCATION AND LABOR

COMMITTEES:

MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES

EDUCATION AND LABOR ELEMENTARY, SECONDARY, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION LABORMANAGEMENT RELATIONS POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

SELECT COMMITTEE ON AGING HOUSING AND CONSUMER INTERESTS

February 8, 1993

Ellen Rafferty, Congressional Liaison Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Rafferty:

I am writing on behalf of Congresswoman Jolene Unsoeld's constituents, Mr. and Mrs. William Hughes of Salkum, Washington, who have expressed concern about some pending FCC regulations which will affect their ability to fly model aircraft. I wrote to you last week on behalf of another constituent with the same concern, but because the Hughes' letter is much more specific in describing the situation, I have enclosed it for your further information.

We will appreciate any information or assistance you can provide to help us respond to the Hughes' concerns. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Donna Levin

District Representative

r t

Enclosure

601 Main Street, Suite 505 Vancouver, WA 98660

Dear Jalene Unsoled,

Iam very concern about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the federal communications commission **FCC**). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If Adopted, the new will greatly reduce the usability of Frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendent libility for controllong model airplanes

OUR RADIO CONTROL FREQUENCIES ARE IN THE 72-76 MHZ Band. This is primarirly used for private land mobile dispatch operators. However, our Radio Control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share that band without either use interdering with the others.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrow bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operators. Iam told that of the 50 FREQUENCIES THAT ARE PRESENTLY AVAILABLE FOR RADIO CONTROL OF MODEL AIRPLANES, ONLY 19 FREQUENCIES WILL BE LEFT IF THESE New Rules are Adopted.

When we fly our model Airplanes under Radio Control, we go to Great Lengths to assure the safety of the operators and ByStanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is deminished as proposed by the FCC. the remaining frequencies will become congested the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many Model Airplanes have wing spans up to 10 FEET AND WEIGH AS MUCH AS 30 OR 40 pound. The Model themselves are expensive to build; But more to point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if Radio Interference causes the operate to lose control of the craft. We often fly our Model at Organized Events and contest where Hundreds of operators particiapte. We need the use of full complement of Radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment

I do not think it is wise of the FCCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile Radio users at the expense of Radio control Modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of Radio, but we have a considerable investment in our Models and in our

equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands or people rike myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safety enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHZ band.

SINCERELY

FROM THE LEWIS COUNTY RADIO CONTROLL
CLUB

I	HAVE	SPE	ENT	SEVER	AL OF	HUND	REDS	OF	DOLI	LARS	TO E	BE AF	BLE	TO E	YOY
ΜY	т нов	BY A	AND	ENJOY	MAKI	NG OT	HERS	HAP	PY 1	TAHT	LIKE	or 3	WAT	CH M	E FLY
MY MODELS.															
I	BELO	NG 1	ro a	CLUB	OF 3	4 MEM	BERS	ΑT	THIS	TIM	E WF	HICH	IS	STIL	
GROWING.															
1	ALS	ra c	TEN	D SEV	ERAL	EVENT	S THE	ROUG	ноит	THE	YEA	AR WI	TH	CLUB	MEMBERS
W	IE EN	YOU	DOI	NG TH	IS FO	R THE	PUBI	LIC.							
C	UR C	LUB	ALS	O TAK	ES CA	RE OF	THE	нов	BY D	EPAR	TMEN	IT AT	TH	E	

. LIKE TO BE ABLE TO GO ON DOING THIS FOR THE PEOPLE BUT WITHOUT YOU

SO PLEASE THINK HARD ON THIS MATTER TO HELP US.

SUPPORT WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SERVE THE PEOPLE.

SOUTH WEST WASHINGTON FAIR IN CHEHALIS, CENTRALIA. WE ARE THE SUPPORT OF THAT DEPARTMENT WITH OUR R/C MODELS WE WOULD

I ALSO HAVE AT LEAST ${\cal H}$

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING

William M. Highes